

JUDGING SUB CATEGORY	COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN	TEAM ID	
PRIMARY EVIDENCE	TEAM INTERVIEW	TEAM NAME	
SECONDARY EVIDENCE	MODELLING ON TEAM COMPUTER	SCHOOL	
CRITERIA	2	COMPETITION CLASS	

Criteria	Low	Developing	Advanced	Score
	0 1 0 1 2	2 3 3 4 5 6	4 5 7 8 9 10	/5 /10
2.1 Application of CAD	Basic understanding and application of CAD	Good understanding and application of CAD	Advanced understanding and application of CAD throughout.	/10
2.2 CAD Organisation	Generally disorganised	Satisfactory organisation of data and models	Data & parts highly ordered & linked. Full CAD product assembly	/10
2.3 CAD Based Analysis	Minimal analysis shown	Good analysis. Results applied to development	Variety of advanced and relevant analysis techniques conducted	/10
2.4 Overall CAD Technical Merit	Basic CAD design with little technical merit	Developed CAD design with some technical merit	Original & clever developed CAD design with excellent technical merit	/5
2.5 CAD Model v's Finished Product	Basic Similarity	Good Similarity	Excellent Similarity	/10
2.6 Orthographic (last page of portfolio)	Basic drawing	Good technical drawing	High detail & includes spec dimensions.	/10
2.7 Rendering (on portfolio cover)	Basic rendering on cover	Realistic rendering on cover	Photorealistic render on cover	/10
Computer Aided Design GRAND TOTAL				/65

EVENT NAME:	
TEAM:	TEAM ID:
Three (3) things you should continue to do:	
1	
2	
3	
Three (3) things that would most improve your participation:	
1	
2	
3	