

JUDGING SUB CATEGORY	COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN	TEAM ID	
PRIMARY EVIDENCE	TEAM INTERVIEW	TEAM NAME	
SECONDARY EVIDENCE	MODELLING ON TEAM COMPUTER	SCHOOL	
CRITERIA	2	COMPETITION CLASS	

	Low	Developing	Advanced	Score
Criteria	0 1 0 1 2	2 3 3 4 5 6	4 5 7 8 9 10	/5 /10
<b>2.1 Application of CAD</b>	Basic understanding and application of CAD	Good understanding and application of CAD	Advanced understanding and application of CAD throughout.	/10
<b>2.2 CAD Organisation</b>	Generally disorganised	Satisfactory organisation of data and models	Data & parts highly ordered & linked. Full CAD product assembly	/10
<b>2.3 CAD Based Analysis</b>	Minimal analysis shown	Good analysis. Results applied to development	Variety of advanced and relevant analysis techniques conducted	/10
<b>2.4 Overall CAD Technical Merit</b>	Basic CAD design with little technical merit	Developed CAD design with some technical merit	Original & clever developed CAD design with excellent technical merit	/5
<b>2.5 CAD Model v's Finished Product</b>	Basic Similarity	Good Similarity	Excellent Similarity	/10
<b>2.6 Orthographic (last page of portfolio)</b>	Basic drawing	Good technical drawing	High detail & includes spec dimensions.	/10
<b>2.7 Rendering (on portfolio cover)</b>	Basic rendering on cover	Realistic rendering on cover	Photorealistic render on cover	/10
<b>Computer Aided Design GRAND TOTAL</b>				<b>/65</b>

<b>EVENT NAME:</b>	
<b>TEAM:</b>	<b>TEAM ID:</b>
<b>Three (3) things you should continue to do:</b>	
1	
2	
3	
<b>Three (3) things that would most improve your participation:</b>	
1	
2	
3	